



Surfrider Foundation
Ventura County Chapter – Matilija Coalition
PO Box 1028, Ventura, CA 93002
(805) 648-4005 www.matilija-coalition.org



February 16, 2010

To: Darryl Buxton, Project Manager, US Army Corps of Engineers
Jeff Pratt, Director, Ventura County Public Works Agency

RE: Matilija Coalition Position on US Army Corps of Engineers Proposal to
Permanently Sequester Fine Sediments in Matilija Canyon

The Matilija Coalition is a non-governmental organization comprised of local, state, and national organizations committed to the restoration of the Ventura River watershed through the removal of Matilija Dam. The Matilija Coalition has actively participated in the multi-agency effort to remove Matilija Dam for the purpose of restoring the Ventura River ecosystem since the project's inception.

The Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Project has been delayed by the U.S Army Corps of Engineers (COE) lack of funding and difficulties associated with the management of approximately two million cubic yards of fine sediment (approximately one third of the total sediment) that has accumulated behind the dam since its construction in 1948. The **Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study** completed in 2004 outlines a restoration plan that includes dam and sediment removal based upon the 'Alternative Plan 4b' for 'short-term sediment stabilization.' This plan was developed through a multi-year, multi-agency planning process with consensus of all stakeholders including Ventura County, the COE, and the California Coastal Conservancy as project sponsor. The Feasibility Plan underwent the extensive review processes outlined below, and ultimately gained Congressional approval through the passage of the Water Resources and Development Act of 2007 (WRDA07.)

To date, the COE and County project managers have been unable to complete the final design of the Feasibility Plan that specified a slurry system to transport fine sediment downstream of water supply facilities to temporary storage areas near Baldwin Road. Attempts to alter the consensus Alternative Plan 4b to permanently store the fine sediment in a 70-acre landfill within a popular public recreation area understandably met with community resistance. Therefore, project managers are now considering another alternative which would permanently store the fine sediments upstream of the current dam site within Matilija Canyon.

At the January 14, 2010 Matilija Dam Design Oversight Group Meeting, the COE and County project managers asked stakeholders to consider and respond to the following question:

- ***Can a constructable alternative be developed to permanently sequester the fine sediments upstream of the dam so as to reduce impact to Lake Casitas? If so, what would be the environmental impacts and cost?***

The Matilija Coalition DOES NOT support the proposal to permanently store 2.1 million cubic yards of fine sediments in the Upstream Storage Areas (USA 1 and 2.)

The Matilija Coalition believes that the proposal to permanently sequester the fine sediments upstream of the dam in the manner described undermines the basic objectives of the Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Project. Moreover, this will likely result in further delays to the project, which is already several years behind schedule. The upstream storage of fine sediments described by the COE will result in a permanent landfill and associated hardscape flood-control facilities within the high-energy floodplain of Matilija Creek: this proposal represents a significant departure from the consensus Alternative Plan 4b previously incorporated in the Feasibility Study and NEPA/CEQA environmental review, and raises significant concerns, including the environmental, procedural, and regulatory issues outlined below.

Issues raised by the Army Corps of Engineers proposal to permanently store approximately 2.1 million cubic yards of fine sediments within the Matilija Reservoir site:

1. The proposal is not fully consistent with the Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Project objectives:

- Improve Aquatic And Terrestrial Habitat Along Matilija Creek And Ventura River
- Restore Fish Passage to Benefit the Endangered Southern Steelhead
- Restore Natural Processes To Support Beach Sand Replenishment
- Enhance Recreational Opportunities

2. Departure from the Feasibility Study plan:

The proposal is inconsistent with the project description approved in the 2004 Feasibility Study. This plan underwent an extensive review processes including:

- Stakeholder Consensus on Alternative Plan 4b for temporary stabilization of coarse sediment in Matilija Canyon and temporary storage of fine sediments near Baldwin Road (2003)
- Habitat evaluation (HEP) and associated federal cost-benefit analysis (2004)
- Environmental review (NEPA/CEQA) (2004)
- US Army Chief of Engineers Report (2004)
- USFWS Coordination Act Report (2004) and USFWS Biological Opinion (2005)
- NOAA Fisheries Biological Opinion (2007)
- Congressional authorization (Water Resources and Development Act (WRDA) 2007)
- Agreement for Transfer of Matilija Conduit and Continued Matilija Dam Operations, CMWD and VCWPD (2009)

3. Hazard and Liability:

Construction of flood protection structures in the active floodplain raises the potential for future catastrophic failure and the need for ongoing maintenance. Specific concerns include:

- Potential impacts to downstream infrastructure and water supply facilities.
- Safety of downstream residents.
- Need for ever-increasing flood-control armoring in order to maintain the flood protection structures in place.
- Cost to the VCWPD Zone 1 taxpayer to maintain the new flood protection structures in perpetuity.

4. Negative impact to ecosystem function:

The Federal interest in Matilija Dam is based upon a cost-benefit analysis that was determined in 2004 through a modified Habitat Evaluation Procedure (HEP.) Many of the assumptions in the HEP analysis may be invalidated with the Upstream Storage Area proposal:

- The location and extent of the USA fine sediment storage sites will reduce the active floodplain by raising the elevation of the sites above the level of flood flows, and by permanently armoring both banks of the channel in this reach.
- The permanent fill of the USA fine sediment sites will reduce the width of the active channel; this would alter the hydrology and hydraulics and increase the need for additional flood-control armoring hardening, both in the initial design of the pilot channel and following future high flow events.
- Channelization of the perennial stream section in the Matilija Reservoir area will reduce steelhead spawning and rearing habitat, reduce vegetative cover, and potentially reduce fish passage.
- The location and extent of the USA fine sediment storage sites will permanently bury riparian habitat and tributaries and springs, as well as the heritage oak grove identified in red with 'Protect in Place' in the 2004 Feasibility Plan.
- The USA fine sediment storage sites will reduce the area used in the HEP analysis to calculate the financial cost-benefit analysis.
- Reliance on permanent flood control structures will require ongoing maintenance, including maintenance of access roads, fences, and other infrastructure associated with flood control facilities. The impacts to habitat and the cost of 50-years of operations and maintenance were not considered in the cost-benefit analysis in the 2004 Feasibility Study.

Summary

The area upstream of Matilija Dam is the primary restoration site in the Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Project, and the ultimate success of the project hinges on how this reach of Matilija Creek is restored and managed. In general, the proposed upstream USA storage sites do not reflect the considerations that led to the conceptual design for upstream sediment management described in the 2004 Feasibility Report. The Feasibility Plan specifies temporary sediment storage areas that were carefully selected to minimize impacts to the existing habitat and provide for the restoration of a naturally meandering stream channel upstream of the current dam site capable of shifting its course in response to flow and sediment transport. This initially restored channel was intended to provide a starting point to provide for the natural evolution of the river within Matilija canyon following dam removal. Constricting this channel between permanent flood control structures protecting the permanent USA storage sites and Matilija Road in this reach of Matilija Creek will compromise this process, which is key to the ecosystem restoration objectives. The proposal is also inconsistent with re-establishing recreational opportunities within the restored Matilija Reservoir site.

The Matilija Coalition remains committed to the removal of Matilija Dam in the most cost effective manner that will attain the Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration objectives. We support analysis of restoration alternatives that are consistent with the consensus plan outlined in the 2004 **Matilija Dam Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study**. We also encourage COE and County project managers to re-engage the scientific and technical expertise that initially contributed to the planning process. Experts in the fields of river and habitat restoration, fluvial processes, and


fisheries restoration, as well as the resource agencies responsible for the outcome of this project, should all be active participants in this process.

We look forward to moving this project forward in a positive manner toward an outcome that satisfies the concerns of all stakeholders and is consistent with the consensus plan approved in the 2004 Feasibility Study.


Sincerely,



A. Paul Jenkin
Coordinator, Matilija Coalition



Vince Kinsch
Chair, Surfrider Foundation Ventura County Chapter



Janis McCormick
Environmental Coalition of Ventura County



Nica Knite
Southern California Regional Manager
California Trout



Steve Rothert
American Rivers



Steve Evans
Conservation Director
Friends of the River



Rick Ridgeway
Patagonia, Inc.



Linda Krop
Chief Counsel
Environmental Defense Center



Kira Redmond
Santa Barbara ChannelKeeper

Cc: Sheryl Carter, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
Chris Delith, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
John Bridgewater, U.S. Forest Service
Chris Yates, National Marine Fisheries Service
Sam Schuchat, California Coastal Conservancy
Mary Larson, California Department of Fish and Game
Steve Wickstrum, Casitas Municipal Water District
Senator Diane Feinstein
Senator Barbara Boxer
Congresswoman Louis Capps
Congressman Elton Gallegly
Steve Bennett, Ventura County Supervisor